FILE COPY

Office Supreme Court, U.S.
FILED
APR 1 1961
JAMES R. BROWNING, Clerk

IN THE

Supreme Court of the United States

OCTOBER TERM, A. D. 1960.

No. 705

DONALD PETER VAN HOOK,

Petitioner,

vs.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Respondent.

MOTION FOR CLARIFICATION AND TO SETTLE THE ORDER.

FRANCIS HEISLER
MARK I. SCHWARTZMAN
7 South Dearborn Street
Chicago 3, Illinois
Attorneys for Petitioner

The Scheffer Press, Inc.—ANdover 3-6850

IN THE

SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

OCTOBER TERM, A. D. 1960.

No. 705

DONALD PETER VAN HOOK,

Petitioner,

vs.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Respondent.

MOTION FOR CLARIFICATION AND TO SETTLE THE ORDER.

To the Honorable, the Chief Justice and Associate Justices of the Supreme Court of the United States:

Now comes, Donald Peter Van Hook, petitioner herein, by his attorneys, Francis Heisler and Mark L. Schwartzman, and moves the Court to clarify its order of March 27, 1961, in this case.

Petitioner submits that the granting of a writ of certiorari for the sole purpose of remanding the case for resentencing in compliance with Rule 32 of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure has left all the issues raised by the original Petition unanswered.

In the instant case the petitioner set forth eight reasons for the granting of the writ of certiorari all of which in-

volved weighty, statutory and constitutional issues and that this Court should clarify its order to inform the petitioner if any disposition has been made of the other issues, or if no such disposition has been made or considered that the petitioner is not precluded from further redress to this Court for consideration of the unanswered issues after proper sentencing.

Respectfully submitted,

FRANCIS HEISLER and
MARK L. SCHWARTZMAN
7 South Dearborn Street,
Chicago 3, Illinois
Attorneys for Petitioner.